Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C. § 102 (Anticipation)

Claims 1–20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent

No. 5,796,945 to *Tarabella*. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

A prior art reference anticipates the claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. § 102 only if every

element of a claimed invention is identically shown in that single reference, arranged as they are in

the claims. MPEP § 2131; *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 832, 15 U.S.P.Q.2d 1566, 1567 (Fed. Cir.

1990). Anticipation is only shown where each and every limitation of the claimed invention is found

in a single prior art reference. MPEP § 2131; *In re Donohue*, 766 F.2d 531, 534, 226 U.S.P.Q. 619,

621 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Each recite a signal processing device for processing an incoming signal according to a

selected signal-processing operation to supply a processed signal to presentation means, and a stored

demonstration signal allowing an effective demonstration of the particular selected signal-processing

operation. In the present invention, a stored demonstration signal may be employed to demonstrate

the effect of a signal-processing operation such as picture-in-picture, zoom effect, mosaic screen, and

features for enhancing picture quality via noise filters, cross color and interference reduction, and

the like. Such a feature is not shown or suggested by the cited reference. *Tarabella* merely teaches

a conventional screen saver in which the image(s) displayed during idle periods may be selected by

the user, with a "demo" user control for demonstrating how images organized into collections (i.e.,

sports, art, news, etc. as depicted in Figure 2 of *Tarabella*) will looks when displayed:

> A demonstration button 125 provides a brief representative illustration of the types
> of images which can be collected for the selected 52 subject matters 54.

Tarabella, column 7, lines 15–18. *Tarabella* does not teach or suggest any signal-processing

operations, as that term is employed in the specification and claims, or demonstration of the effect

of such signal-processing operations in response to a user command. At most, *Tarabella* merely

suggests demonstrating images and image organization into collections in response to user actuation

of the "demo" control.

Therefore, the rejection of claims 1–20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 has been overcome.